

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,758	06/25/2003	Helmut Jerg	2000P13027WOUS 2139	
75	590 11/12/2004		EXAM	INER
John T. Winbu	ırn		KIM, YOO	N YOUNG
BSH Home App	pliances Corporation			
100 Bosch Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New Bern, NC 28562			1723	
·	•		DATE MAILED, 11/12/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astis a C	10/603,7	58	JERG, HELMUT			
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
	Yoon-You	ıng Kim	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eviction. s, a reply within the state period will apply and with state cause the appropriate the appropriate cause cause the appropriate cause the appropriate cause the appr	rent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06/25/2003					
_	This action is r	on-final				
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	ider <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	iayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 10-25 is/are pending in the appli	cation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		nsideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		noideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11,18,19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>12-17 and 20-25</u> is/are objected	to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a		equirement				
Application Papers						
·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06/25/2003</u> is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any objection to			` ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is object	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:			(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	ied copies not received	I.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (I				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pare 6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summar	y Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 10212004			

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 10, 11, 18, and 19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-19 of copending Application No. 10/603,531. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both disclose a filter having filter openings, which openings vary in cross-section automatically in accordance with an inherent variable of the medium passing there through.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,758

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Silverwater, U.S. Patent No. 4,783,271.

Regarding Claim 10, Silverwater discloses a filter (Fig. 1, #5) for a medium passing therethrough, comprising:

a filter body (#13) including a plurality of filter openings (#16);

the filter openings each having a passage cross-section; and

the filter opening passage cross-sections vary automatically in response to a variable inherent in the medium passing therethrough (Col.5, Line 59 - Col. 6, Line 30).

Regarding Claim 11, Silverwater discloses that the filter openings substantially screened or covered over by means (#53) whose position relative to the filter openings varies under the influence of the heat of the medium passing therethrough (Col.5, Line 59 - Col. 6, Line 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,758

Art Unit: 1723

6. Claims 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,904,163 in view of Bartelt et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,554,284.

Regarding Claim 18, Inoue discloses a dishwashing machine (Fig. 1, #1) including a filter (Fig. 7, #19) for a medium passing therethrough, comprising: a filter body including a plurality of filter openings (Col. 6, Lines 2-4); and the filter openings each having a passage cross-section. Inoue does not disclose that the cross-section of the openings vary in response to a characteristic inherent to the medium flowing through the openings. Bartelt teaches a filter (Fig. 3, #17) including opening passage cross-sections (Fig. 1 and 3, #110), which vary automatically in response to a variable inherent in the medium passing therethrough (Col. 4, Lines 8-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Inoue by adding the flap-like element of Bartelt for efficient self-cleaning of the filter (Col. 2, Lines 46-52).

7. Claim 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue in view of Bartelt as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Silverwater.

Regarding Claim 19, Inoue in view of Bartelt discloses filter openings which are substantially screened or covered by tongues cut out of a metal plate but does not disclose a material of manufacture influenced by heat. Silverwater teaches a fluid filter assembly (Fig. 1, #5) including a material of manufacture being a bimetal or shape memory metal which moves between a first shape at one temperature and a second shape at another temperature (Col. 5, Lines 20-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to construct the screening or covering elements of Bartelt with the bimetal or shape memory metal used

Art Unit: 1723

by Silverwater, causing their state relative to the openings to vary under the influence of heat, because it is material that is common in the filter art.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12-17 and 20-25 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yoon-Young Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

**